	Application Number	09/960,756		
	Filing Date	September 21, 2001	RECEIVED	
TRANSMITTAL	First Named Inventor	Eberlein, et al.	CENTRAL FAX CENT	
FORM	Group Art Unit	2684	FEB 0 3 2006	
(to be used for all correspondence after initial filling)	Examiner Name	Gesesse, Tilahun		
Total Number of Pages in this Submission	Attorney Docket Number	CM04703H		
ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)				
Fee Transmittal Form	Drawing(s)	1 1 1	owance Communication to a	
Fee Attached	Licensing-Related pape	rs Technology Center (TC) Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences		
X Amendment/Reply	Petition	Appeal	Communication to TC Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)	
X After Final	Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application		tary Information	
Affidavits/Declaration(s)	1 "		etter with appropriate copies	
Extension of Time Request	Power of Attorney, Revoce Change of Correspondence A			
Express Abandonment Request	Terminal Disclaimer	CORPY OF	unterview Summary	
Information Disclosure Statement	Request for Refund			
Certified Copy of Priority Documents	CD, Number of CDs			
Response to Missing Parts/	Remarks			
Incomplete Application				
Response to Missing Parts Under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53		•		
	E OF APPLICANT, ATTORN	NEY, OR AGENT		
Firm or Indira Saladi		Registration No.	45,759	
Signature M-fac-		-		
Date February 3, 2006				
	CERTIFICATE OF MAILIN	NG		
I hereby certify that this correspondence United States Postal Service with suffic Commissioner for Patents, Alexandria,	ient postage as first class ma	iil in an envelope addre		
Typed or printed name Indira Saladi	TIT ELD TO OIT THE DATE HOLEO L	VV. V 18 .		
		Date	February 3, 2006	

1 CM04703H

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Indonesia de Companyo	09/960,756	EBERLEIN ET AL.			
Interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Tilahun B. Gesessse	2684			
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):					
(1) <u>Tilahun B. Gesessse</u> .	(3) <u>Keith Branden</u> .	RECEIVED ENTRAL FAX CENTER			
(2) <u>Indira Şəladi</u> .	(4) Jeffrey Frank.	FEB 0 3 2006			
Date of Interview: 13 October 2005.		:			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative					
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)∐ No.				
Claim(s) discussed: 1.					
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Schleve et al U.S. pantent No. 5.263.177</u> .					
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached. g)☐ was not reached. h)☐ N/A.					
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: We discussed the applied prior art in view of the submitted amendment and agreed that the submitted amendment overcome the rejection. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.					
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's signa				